1	3. <b>DISCOVERY</b> : The parties have h	and discovery disputes since the last case management
2	conference	
3	On January 27, 2006, Magistrate Judge Chen issued an Order Granting in Part and Denying in Par	
4	Plaintiff's Motion for Protective Order.	
5	On May 25, 2006, the parties filed a Joint Letter Re: Discovery Disputes requesting a telephone	
6	conference with Magistrate Chen. On May 30, 2006, the case was referred to Magistrate Judge Chen for	
7	telephone conference concerning the parties' discovery disputes over a third deposition of plaintiff and	
8	written discovery.	
9	The parties intend to take several depositions in California and in Washington between June 19,	
10	2006 and December 2006.	
11	4. <b>TRIAL SCHEDULE</b> : The parties anticipate that the case will be ready to be set for trial at the	
12	next case management conference.	
13	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
14	Dated: May 31, 2006	FRANKLIN EMPLOYMENT LAW GROUP
15		/s/
16		By: Eugene T. Franklin Barbara F. Green
17		Attorneys for Plaintiff Tamiko Olabintan
18		
19	Dated: May 31, 2006	LYNCH, GILARDI & GRUMMER
20		/g/
21		By: Ronald J. Souza, Esq. Lara S. Hilley, Esq.
22		Attorneys for Shurgard Storage Centers, Inc.
23		
24		
25		
26		2
27	NO. U.S.D.C. C 04 2819 CRB (EMC) SUBSEQUENT JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT	
28	SOBBLQCE. IT TORKET	

(PROPOSED) ORDER Pursuant to stipulation and upon good cause, the Court orders that the Case be continued for a 15, Subsequent Case Management Conference in December 2006. June 2, 2006 Dated: IT IS SO ORDERED JUDGE Judge Charles R. Breyer DISTRIC NO. U.S.D.C. C 04 2819 CRB (EMC) SUBSEQUENT JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT